Fitch Ratings

Fitch Rates Palm Beach County School Board, FL $42MM COPs 'AA-'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-New York-13 December 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA-' rating to the following obligations issued by the Palm Beach County School Board (the district), Florida:

--$42 million certificates of participation (COPs), series 2017B.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

The COPs will be sold on a negotiated basis on or about Dec. 19. The proceeds will be used to refund a portion of the outstanding series 2011A and 2012A COPs.

SECURITY
The district's COPs are secured by lease payments made to the trustee pursuant to a master lease purchase agreement. Lease payments are payable from legally available funds, subject to annual appropriation by the district. The district is required to appropriate funds for all outstanding leases on an all-or-none basis. In the event of non-appropriation, all leases will terminate, and the district would, at the trustee's option, have to surrender all lease-purchased facilities under the master lease for the benefit of owners of the COPs which financed or refinanced such projects.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION
The 'AA' Issuer Default Rating (IDR) reflects the district's solid revenue growth prospects, low long-term liability burden, adequate reserves, and a moderate amount of budgetary flexibility. The 'AA-' rating on the COPs is one notch below the IDR, reflecting the slightly higher degree of optionality associated with lease payments subject to appropriation.

Economic Resource Base
The school district is coterminal with Palm Beach County, encompassing 2,385 square miles in south Florida on the Atlantic coast. The district is the fifth largest in the state and operates 180 schools with K to 12 student enrollment exceeding 169,000 for the 2017-2018 school year. Traditional school enrollment picked up modestly in recent years and continued growth is projected at a similar rate. Charter student enrollment growth has eased in recent years and comprises 11% of the total student population. The county's population has experienced over 9% growth since 2010 to an estimated 1.4 million for 2016.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Framework: 'a'
District operations are funded through a combination of state aid and local property taxes. The district's 10-year general fund revenue growth rate (through fiscal 2016) exceeded national inflation but was lower than GDP growth. Fitch expects this trend to continue, given moderate enrollment growth projections. The district has very limited independent ability to raise revenues.

Expenditure Framework: 'aa'
The district's natural pace of spending growth is expected to be close to or marginally above that of revenue. Enrollment growth and staffing costs are the main expenditure drivers. Carrying costs associated with debt service and retiree benefits are moderately low.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aaa'
The district's long-term liability burden is very low and is expected to remain stable even with moderate plans of future debt issuance. The district participates in the adequately-funded Florida Retirement System.

Operating Performance: 'aa'
The district has historically maintained satisfactory reserves despite a history of mixed operating results. Fitch believes that the district, supported by its solid expenditure flexibility, would maintain reserves at similar levels throughout economic cycles.

RATING SENSITIVITIES
Maintenance of Financial Flexibility: The rating is sensitive to material changes in the district's solid expenditure flexibility, low long-term liability burden, and expectations for maintenance of adequate reserve levels.

CREDIT PROFILE

https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/1033954
The local economy is broad and well diversified, and county income levels exceed state and national averages. Unemployment levels are currently below the state and national norms and have shown considerable improvement from their recessionary peak.

Assessed values have increased in the past six years, benefitting from improved home values and economic development. Prospects for additional tax base expansion are good, given the continued increase in permit activity and numerous projects planned and underway.

Following the recent hurricanes (Harvey, Irma & Maria), the district has experienced an increase in student enrollment. The district estimates that the recent storms have resulted in an additional 420 students across the district, of which a nominal portion has enrolled in charter schools. Fitch believes this recent trend of enrollment growth will be temporary and may partially reverse as storm damage is repaired.

Revenue Framework
Common to Florida school districts, revenues are almost entirely derived from a combination of state aid and property taxes. The state establishes statewide per-student revenues under the Florida Educational Finance Program (FEFP) equalized per student funding formula. Overall funding for each district in any year is determined by enrollment. The state then determines the level of property taxes to be levied within the district and provides the remainder of funding. Typically, the wealthier a district's tax base, the higher proportion of revenues sourced from property taxes. In fiscal 2017, state funding comprised about 34% of the district's general fund revenues and the property tax component was about 61%.

The district also levies discretionary taxes for operations and capital/maintenance at the statutory maximum rates of 0.748 mills and 1.5 mills, respectively. In addition, the district has a voter-approved 0.25 mill levy designated for art, music, and physical education instruction, choice programs, and career academies. The levy was last approved by voters in 2014, from fiscal 2015 through fiscal 2019, and must be renewed every four years. Voters first approved the millage in 2010. The district plans to seek voter approval to extend the levy of the voted millage in fiscal 2019.

Historical general fund revenue growth has outpaced national GDP over the past decade. Fitch expects revenues to grow at a similar pace due to expectations for mildly positive enrollment trends attributable to continued population growth. Fitch does not believe that the increase in enrollment following the recent hurricanes will impact the district's long-term growth.

The district has historically experienced some enrollment loss due to charter school expansion; however, recent charter school growth has eased and management expects this trend to continue. Management attributes the recent slower growth in charter schools to both the school choice options available in Florida and a reduction in the approval of new charter schools. Charter school enrollment currently represents 11% of total district enrollment.

FEFP funding levels in recent years have lagged the rate of growth in the state's general revenues. The enacted state budget for fiscal 2018 includes a roughly 1.4% increase in the level of per-pupil funding.

Due to the state funding mechanism, Florida school districts have very limited ability to independently increase general fund revenues. However, this limitation as a factor in the revenue framework assessment is somewhat offset by the recognition of K-12 education as fundamentally a state responsibility and the strong foundation of state support for education funding.

Expenditure Framework
Instructional related expenditures, including salaries and benefits, make up the bulk of the district's general fund spending.

The pace of spending growth is expected to match or marginally exceed revenue growth, reflecting enrollment-driven spending needs largely funded by related increases in state-controlled per-student funding.

Carrying costs related to debt service, pensions and other post-employment benefits (OPEB) are moderately low at about 12% of governmental spending for fiscal 2017, affording the district spending flexibility. Wages and benefits are collectively bargained between the district and unions representing teachers and support staff. Under Florida law a bargaining impasse is ultimately resolved by action of the governing body of the local government following the conclusion of a non-binding mediation process. State class size requirements also can impact personnel decisions, although the penalties for non-compliance are modest. The district is currently meeting its minimum class size mandates.

Long-Term Liability Burden
The district's long-term liability burden, consisting of debt and the district's share of the net pension liability of the Florida Retirement System, is low at about 4% of personal income. The total liability is comprised predominately of direct debt, with a rate of principal amortization of 64% in 10 years. Approximately 23% of the district's total debt is variable rate, structured as floating rate note absent a put feature, thereby eliminating the need for liquidity support. Interest rate risk is hedged via three derivative
contracts with a negative mark-to-market value of $67 million as of November 2017. Fitch believes this poses a modest risk to the district’s long-term liability profile.

County voters approved a 1-cent sales tax increase in a November 2016 referendum. The tax increase began on Jan. 1, 2017 and will sunset in the earlier of 10 years or when the expected $2.7 billion in revenue is generated. The district will receive half of the revenue generated through the tax increase (the county receives 30% and cities the remaining 20%). According to the district's fiscal 2018-2027 capital improvement plan (CIP), revenue generated by the tax increase will be used to fund modernization, facility renewal and replacement projects, as well as help fund the construction of five new schools. The CIP also includes an additional $500 million of COP issuance (about one-third of currently outstanding direct debt or 13% of total long-term liabilities). Fitch expects the liability burden to remain low even with the anticipated additional debt.

The district has historically paid COPs debt service with revenue from its capital outlay millage, although all legally available revenues are available for this purpose. Current legislation allows Florida school districts to levy 1.5 mills for capital outlay. Three-fourths (1.125 mills) of the 1.5-mill levy is available for COPs debt service associated with new issuances after 2009. The district has not issued any new COPs since 2010. Based on the 2018 assessed valuation, the district plans use about .79 mills of the capital outlay millage for COP debt service.

Florida passed legislation in June 2017 (HB 7069) that requires districts to allocate a portion of the capital outlay millage on a per student basis to support eligible charter school capital projects. The district currently estimates $10 million will be allocated to the charter schools in fiscal 2018, which represents roughly 2% of the district’s capital budget. Management anticipates the amount to be transferred to the charter schools will aggregate to more than $230 million over the next 10 years. The district's 2018-2027 CIP incorporates the annual allocation of the capital outlay millage.

The district participates in the Florida Retirement System (FRS). FRS reported an asset to liability ratio of 85%, or an estimated 70% when adjusted by Fitch to assume a 6% rate of return (as of the June 30, 2016 measurement date).

Operating Performance
The three-year scenario revenue estimate generated by Fitch's analytical sensitivity tool (FAST) indicates that revenues would decline modestly in a 1% U.S. GDP decline. Fitch expects the district to address a revenue downturn as it has in the past, by implementing expenditure reductions and through the use of reserves to maintain a satisfactory level of financial flexibility. Flexibility is augmented by available balances outside the general fund, specifically capital funds, and Fitch's financial resilience assessment assumes the maintenance of adequate balances in these funds.

The district had been drawing down on its capital reserve fund balances for maintenance needs in lieu of alternative revenue sources or issuing debt over the past several years (through fiscal 2015). The infusion of additional revenue from an increase in the sales tax rate will provide flexibility for the district in addressing its capital needs going forward.

The district has maintained adequate reserve levels despite a history of mixed operating results. The district's planned use of fund balance from fiscal 2012 through fiscal 2014 was primarily due to operational needs associated with declining state aid revenue, salary increases and one-time bonuses paid to employees, and enrollment loss without a commensurate decline in expenditures.

The district's financial operations were positive in fiscal 2016, posting a $10 million general fund surplus which increased unrestricted reserves to approximately $102 million or 6% of spending. Unaudited results for fiscal 2017 depict another general fund surplus of approximately $11 million (less than 1% of spending), maintaining unrestricted general fund reserves at about 6% of spending. The district's 2018 adopted general fund budget represents a 4% increase over the prior year’s budget. The adopted budget incorporates continued growth in enrollment and FEFP funding, as well as an increased allocation to charter schools and higher costs for salaries and benefits. District officials indicate year-to-date results are tracking in line with the budget. The district anticipates the maintenance of unrestricted reserves at similar levels, above the 3% recommended by the state.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Grace Wong
Director
+1-212-908-0652
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
33 Whitehall Street
New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst
Michael Rinaldi
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0833

Committee Chairperson
Steve Murray
Senior Director
+1-512-215-3729

Date of Relevant Rating Committee: Sept. 6, 2017

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch’s applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212-908-0278, Email: sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Additional Disclosures
Solicitation Status (https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/1033954#solicitation)
Endorsement Policy (https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:
Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch’s factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch’s ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided “as is” without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or
warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US$1,000 to US$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US$10,000 to US$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the “NRSRO”). While certain of the NRSRO’s credit rating subsidiaries are listed on item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the “non-NRSROs”) and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Solicitation Status

Fitch Ratings was paid to determine each credit rating announced in this Rating Action Commentary (RAC) by the obligatory being rated or the issuer, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated, except for the following:

Endorsement Policy - Fitch’s approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory) page. The endorsement status of all international ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.